Language Acquisition: Part II

Syntactic development

Theories of syntactic development

Language and cognition
Mean Length of Utterance (MLU) - related to syntactic development

Measured in terms of morphemes - “walked” would be an MLU of 2. “No milk” would also be 2

Consistent pattern of growth of MLU with age
Individual differences in rate.
Figure 5.3  The relation of MLU to age for Adam, Eve, and Sarah

### Box 5.1 Examples of one child's two-word utterances

#### Possessives
- daddy coffee
- daddy shell
- mommy shell
- Andrew shoe
- daddy hat
- Elliot juice
- mommy mouth

#### Property-indicating patterns
- big balloon
- big hot
- big shell
- big juice
- big pants
- big lion
- big water
- big light
- big step
- big jump
- big boy
- big bird
- big tobacco
- big banana

#### Recurrence, number, disappearance
- more glass
- more boy
- more raisins
- more shovel
- more “O”
- other door
- other pin
- other ball
- other hand

*(continued)*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locatives</th>
<th>Daddy Work</th>
<th>Boy Walk</th>
<th>Andrew Sleep</th>
<th>Stone Daddy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sand ball</td>
<td>“ON”</td>
<td>hand eye</td>
<td>“IN/TO”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hand hair</td>
<td>“IN”</td>
<td>stone outside</td>
<td>“TO”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ball house</td>
<td>“IN/TO”</td>
<td>key door</td>
<td>“TO”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>man car</td>
<td>“IN”</td>
<td>raisin cup</td>
<td>“IN/TO”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fly light</td>
<td>“ON”</td>
<td>dog house</td>
<td>“ON”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sand toe</td>
<td>“ON”</td>
<td>feet light</td>
<td>“TO”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sand water</td>
<td>“IN/TO”</td>
<td>in there . . .</td>
<td>old apple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sand eye</td>
<td>“IN”</td>
<td>in there . . .</td>
<td>old apple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daddy . . . hot ball</td>
<td>“TO”</td>
<td>milk in there</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ball daddy</td>
<td>“TO”</td>
<td>down there car</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stick car</td>
<td>“IN”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rock outside</td>
<td>“TO”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actor/action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mommy sit</td>
<td>daddy work</td>
<td>boy walk</td>
<td>Andrew sleep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daddy sit</td>
<td>daddy sleep</td>
<td>man walk</td>
<td>daddy work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew walk</td>
<td>daddy walk</td>
<td>Elliot sleep</td>
<td>stone daddy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Other combinations                            |            |          |              |             |
| have it egg                                   | eat fork   | back eat |              |             |
| have it milk                                  | bite top   | up bed   |              |             |
| have it fork                                  | bite block |          |              |             |
| dirty face                                    | bounce ball| mommy girl | daddy boy  |             |
| dirty mouth                                   | broke pipe | orange juice | apple juice |             |
| dirty feet                                    | ride car   | grape juice | drink water |             |
| clean socks                                   | walk car   | butter honey | sock shoe  |             |
| split bread                                   | ride daddy | sit down  |              |             |
| split raisin                                  | walk daddy | lie down  |              |             |
| boom-boom tower                               | daddy window|            |              |             |
| boom-boom car                                 | window byebye|           |              |             |
| boom-boom coffee                              | hat on     |          |              |             |
| boom-boom plane                               | socks on   |          |              |             |
| boom-boom chair                               | out car    |          |              |             |
| eat dessert                                   | out chair  |          |              |             |
|                                              | back car   |          |              |             |
|                                              | back raisin|          |              |             |
Telegraphic utterances

Omission of closed class (function words) and inflections - Perceptual salience of open class? Stressed

In some other languages with richer inflectional systems - more evidence of early use of inflections. Semantic salience of words and inflections could also be relevant
Comprehension of word order

Hirsh-Pasek and Golinkkoff (1993) - 19 mo. old infants’ comprehension of sentence like “Big Bird is tickling Cookie Monster” (preferential looking paradigm)

Two videos playing simultaneously - one correct, one showing Cookie Monster tickling Big Bird

Infants looked longer at correct video

Very early understanding of word order
Acquisition of the Negative

Three stages:

1. Negative added to sentence - outside (1 - 2 yrs)

No go movies
No sit down
No mommy do it

2. Negative inside sentence - use negative and contractions like single words (“don’t” not composed of. do + not) (2 - 3.5)

I no like it.
Don’t go
I no want book.
Negatives (cont.)

3. Different auxiliaries used - approach adult forms (3.5-4.0)

You can’t have this.
I don’t have money.
I’m not sad now.

Slow acquisition (takes 2 yrs to see full development)
Questions

Similar pattern
1. Wh- word at beginning
   What that? Where Daddy go?

2. Start including auxiliary, but don’t invert
   Where you are going?
   What she is playing?

3. Adult form
   How can he be a doctor?
   Why did they talk?

Again long period of acquisition
Later Syntactic Development (Carol Chomsky, 1969)

1. Passives - complete understanding and correct usage may be delayed until 7-8 yrs of age
   John was pushed by Mary. (action verbs come in earlier)
   Mary was remembered by Jim. (“experiencer” verbs difficult)

2. “eager/easy”

   John was eager to please
   John was easy to please

   Who is doing the pleasing? Who is pleased?

3. John promised Bill to wash the car.
   John told Bill to wash the car.

   Who will wash the car?
Theories of the acquisition of grammar

1. Behaviorist approach

2. Information processing approach
   connectionist

3. Nativist approach
Behaviorist approach

1. Stimulus-response, reinforcement

2. Imitation

Problems?
1. No punishment or reward for grammar

2. Lack of imitation

3. Complexity of structure requires hierarchical model of syntax - not chain of associations

4. Productivity, creativity - ability to create sentences never heard
Information processing approach

1. Symbolic/formal approach

Children induce rules -

You are going to the store.
Are you going to the store?

Mike didn’t want the candy
Didn’t Mike want the candy?

Sarah bought the book.
Did Sarah buy the book?
Hypothesize that question is formed by moving auxiliary to front.

Then what to do when no auxiliary?

Another hypothesis needed.

Problems:
1. How do children know what to pay attention to? Slobin’s operating principles
   A. Pay attention to ends of words
   B. Phonological forms can be systematically modified
   C. Pay attention to order of words and morphemes.
   Etc.
Problems?

1. Kind of induction seems quite difficult for 2-4 yr olds

   Even adults can’t state rules

2. “unconscious” rule induction doesn’t really solve the problem
Connectionist Approach

Network representing input-output and interconnections

Initially random weights, weights adjusted by experience

Past-tense learning (McClelland & Rumelhart):

Input present (go), output past (went)
Walk-walked
Tease- teased
Pick -picked
Sing - sung

Format rule: past tense = present + /d/
memorize exceptions
Input Phonology

Hidden Units

Output Phonology

- t
- ae
- g

ae

- t
- d
System can learn input-output connections for large set of regular and irregular verbs

Can generalize fairly well to new verbs
Sensitive to sub-regularities:

Sing-sang
Ring-rang
Fring - ?
How to extend to word order, grammatical structure?

Recurrent networks - Elman auto-associative network

One node become associated with next (like chaining), but chaining in terms word class (det - N) (N-V), etc not particular words

Problems -

1. Both work when given extensive training in particular domain
2. Need feedback from environment to adjust weights (internally generated?)
3. Grammatical doesn’t generalize to new structures
Nativist approach

Innate system - Universal Grammar
Parameters are set by exposure to one’s own language

E.g. Whether word order or inflections are important for signalling grammatical roles

Susan disliked Mary
Mary-pa Susan-bo disliked

2. Draws on specialized cognitive system different from that involved in non-language processes

3. Critical period may be postulated

Evidence in favor?
1. **Pidgin/Creole** (Bickerton, 1983)
   Pidgin spoken when two language communities come in contact
   Lack of grammatical structure productivity

   Children of pidgin speakers develop Creole - which is a fully, grammatical language

   Pidgin - no fixed word order, no embedded clauses, sometimes missing verb
   Creole - has all these features as in standard languages

2. **Home-sign** (Goldin-Meadow, 1982)
   Two deaf children in a home with hearing parents create complete sign language, though not taught one
3. **Williams syndrome children - genetic disorder**
Language abilities seem to be much better than their non-language abilities. Thus, language can’t depend on general cognitive capabilities - has to be specialized system. Converse - children with poor acquisition of language (SLI children) with good non-language abilities

4. **Lack of negative**

Positive evidence of what is correct grammatically not enough to induce grammatical rules

Negative evidence needed, but not provided.

Therefore system has to be innately set so that rules don’t have to be induced.
Problems with nativist approach

A. Why does language learning take so long, if just waiting for input to set parameters? (up to 10 yrs??)

B. Brain specialization could be due to nature of input - auditory/sequential gives left hemisphere specialization

C. Dissociations not as clear as sometimes claimed - Williams syndrome spatial abilities better than might expect Only mild problem with spatial prepositions, picture/word matching good. Grammatical abilities impaired

D. Difficulty in specifying what principles and parameters are - assume default and then show languages with default easier to learn

Not very successful approach so far
Conclusions about basis of acquisition?