Spontaneous high-concentration dispersions and liquid crystals of graphene
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Graphene combines unique electronic properties and surprising quantum effects with outstanding thermal and mechanical properties1–4. Many potential applications, including electronics and nanocomposites, require that graphene be dispersed and processed in a fluid phase5. Here, we show that graphite spontaneously exfoliates into single-layer graphene in chlorosulphonic acid, and dissolves at isotropic concentrations as high as ∼2 mg ml⁻¹, which is an order of magnitude higher than previously reported values. This occurs without the need for covalent functionalization, surfactant stabilization, or sonication, which can compromise the properties of graphene6 or reduce flake size. We also report spontaneous formation of liquid-crystalline phases at high concentrations (∼20–30 mg ml⁻¹). Transparent, conducting films are produced from these dispersions at 1,000 ± 1% and ∼80% transparency. High-concentration solutions, both isotropic and liquid crystalline, could be particularly useful for making flexible electronics as well as multifunctional fibres.

Many promising macroscopic applications of graphene require the development of new routes for effective graphite exfoliation and processing7,17. Micromechanical cleavage8, the first reported method to isolate graphene from graphite, has extremely low yield and cannot be used in bulk applications. Large amounts of graphene sheets can be obtained by oxidizing graphite layers through various processes to yield water-soluble graphene oxide9,10. Further chemical reduction and derivatization yield functionalized graphene that is soluble in common organic solvents5. Although functional groups aid dissolution, they also compromise many of graphene’s desirable electrical and thermal properties6. Reduction of graphene oxide only partially restores these properties11. Liquid phase dispersion in organic solvents—for example, N-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP)—can be attained without chemical modification by sonication12 or by potassium intercalation without sonication13. Graphene has also been dispersed in water by sonication and stabilization using surfactants14. However, liquid-phase dispersions yield low concentrations (0.01 mg ml⁻¹, ref. 12; 0.15 mg ml⁻¹, ref. 13), and sonication limits the size of graphene flakes achievable.

Superacids have been successfully used to dissolve single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) and show great promise for the bulk processing of SWNT-based materials15. Chlorosulphonic acid, the most promising SWNT solvent, was first produced in 1854 and today is a commodity chemical with a production of more than 300,000 tons per year. It is used chiefly as a sulphonating chemical in detergent and dye production16. Although the intercalation of graphite by strong acids, including chlorosulphonic acid, has been studied for decades17, there are no reports indicating that graphite is soluble in strong acids.

In this study the behaviour of pristine graphite in chlorosulphonic acid was investigated. Three different sources of graphite were used: graphoil (commercial graphitic material used in seals), microcrystalline graphite and highly ordered pyrolytic graphite (HOPG). The concentration of the dispersed phase was determined by centrifuging the initial dispersion, extracting the top (isotropic) phase, and measuring its absorbance by UV–vis–NIR (near-infrared) spectra. Figure 1a shows that the acid disperses graphene from various graphite sources at high concentrations without sonication; these isotropic solutions are ten to a hundred times more concentrated than those previously attained by sonicating graphite in organic solvents or in water in the presence of surfactants. The UV–vis–NIR spectrum is featureless as a function of wavenumber (Supplementary Fig. S1a), in agreement with previous reports12. The phase separation that occurs during centrifugation is not an equilibrium one; instead, a fraction of the original material goes into solution while the remainder is insoluble (Supplementary Fig. S2). This is similar to observations for surfactant and NMP dispersions12,14.

Acid strength affects dispersion quality. We controlled acid strength by mixing chlorosulphonic acid and concentrated (98%) sulphuric acid in varying proportions18 (hereafter, mixed solvents are denoted by the concentration of chlorosulphonic acid). The insert in Fig. 1b shows that graphite solubility decreased markedly as the acidity was lowered to 80% chlorosulphonic acid, and solubility dropped further as acidity was lowered. For comparison, we dispersed the same material in NMP, an organic solvent previously reported for pristine graphene dissolution. Without sonication, the level of dispersion in NMP was negligible.

We next investigated the mechanism of graphene dissolution in superacids. Earlier work with superacid/SWNT solutions showed that dispersion is due to protonation of the SWNT sidewalls, which causes electrostatic repulsion and debundling. The degree of protonation (positive charge per carbon atom) in graphitic materials is measured through the acid-induced shift (ΔG) in the location of the G peak in the Raman spectra18–20. Figure 1b reports ΔG for the various acid mixtures; the Raman shift ΔG
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drops with decreasing acidity, mirroring the decrease in solubility. Figure 1c shows the Raman spectra of a sample of graphite powder before and after acid dissolution, quenching in water and drying. The spectrum is essentially unchanged (in particular, the D-band, characteristic of $sp^3$ carbon content, remains low, see also Supplementary Fig. S14), so acid dissolution does not introduce defects in the starting material. This indicates no damage or functionalization by the acid, the same behaviour as seen in SWNTs15. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) further supports this conclusion (Supplementary Figs S4,S13). Compared to SWNTs, graphene is less soluble in the same acid; SWNTs are soluble in weaker superacids, such as 102% sulphuric acid.

Figure 1 | Solubility and solvent quality of graphite dispersions. a, Comparison of chlorosulphonic acid dispersion of graphite (25 mg ml$^{-1}$ initial concentration) obtained from different sources as indicated below the vials. A dark upper portion (top) is obtained for all the sources after 12 h of centrifugation (5,000 r.p.m.), with a grey-coloured lower portion (bottom). The yellow line on the vials indicate the interface between the top and bottom phases in the three vials. The soluble portion was removed and isolated for solubility determinations. b, Comparison of acid-induced shifts in the liquid-phase Raman G-peak for graphite dispersed in the same mixtures of chlorosulphonic acid in sulphuric acid. The G-peak shift, denoted as $dG$, is a quantitative measure of the degree of protonation. The image in the insert shows a qualitative comparison between graphite dissolution into different solvents, showing graphite in the vials with a Teflon-coated stir bar to promote dissolution. Starting from the left, graphite is dissolved in NMP, 50, 65 and 80 vol% chlorosulphonic acid (HSO$_3$Cl) in sulphuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$) and pure chlorosulphonic acid. The dispersions were prepared at 10 mg ml$^{-1}$. The acid dispersions were then centrifuged at 5,000 r.p.m. for 12 h and the NMP dispersion was centrifuged for 3 h. The amount of centrifugation time is different for the two cases because the settling time is linearly dependent on the density differential between the particles and solvent. Thus, the centrifugation time is scaled by this density difference. c, Solid-state Raman spectra of the initial graphite dry powder and the graphite quenched from the acid dispersion. The two spectra are virtually identical, indicating that protonation is reversible. Both the liquid- and solid-phase Raman spectra were taken with excitation wavelengths of 514 nm and long working distances on a ×50 lens.

Figure 2 | G' band Raman spectra performed using an excitation laser wavelength of 514 nm. a–c, Spectra obtained using graphoil as a starting material. The top (a) and bottom (b) phase spectra were obtained on solid samples by quenching the acid-dispersed material. The experimental curves were fitted with three Lorentzians centred at ~2,690 (G'$_{3DA}$), 2,710 (G'$_{2D}$) and 2,730 (G'$_{3DB}$), respectively. The G' peak of the top phase is mostly composed of the G'$_{2D}$ peak, and the undispersed bottom phase is mostly composed of G'$_{3DA}$ and G'$_{3DB}$ peaks, although it has a higher G'$_{2D}$ peak compared to the initial graphite powder (c).
We further characterized the top and bottom phases to better understand the dispersion mechanism. Raman spectroscopy (Supplementary Fig. S3) shows a higher D-peak in the top than in the bottom phase; this D-peak grows with longer centrifugation time. However, XPS (Supplementary Fig. S4) does not reveal any oxygen or sulphur in the quenched top phase. Therefore, we conclude that the graphite flakes in the top phase are smaller, that is, they have a larger perimeter-to-surface ratio.

We measured the Raman $G'$ peak, a measure of graphite stacking\(^2^1\), of the quenched top and bottom portions of the centrifuged sample (Fig. 2). The data show that the quenched top phase loses the typical Bernal stacking of the initial powder and attains the turbostratic stacking typical of disordered graphite, whereas the bottom phase retains most of the Bernal signature of the initial powder.

Conclusive proof of single-layer exfoliation requires transmission electron microscopy (TEM) or atomic force microscopy (AFM). However, the properties of chlorosulphonic acid pose challenges to the preparation of TEM samples (because holey carbon grids dissolve in the acid) and AFM substrates (because the low volatility, high surface tension and hygroscopicity of acid cause substantial re-aggregation). We solved this problem by capturing graphene flakes on custom-made SWNT grids (see Methods) that were then transferred onto a standard grid for TEM or silica substrate for AFM. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) was then used to image the graphene layers. Figure 3a,b presents low- and high-magnification images of a single-layered graphene flake, and Fig. 3c shows an HRTEM image of a few-layered graphite flake. The SWNT network is clearly visible through the flake. Electron diffraction (Fig. 3d) shows the typical\(^2^2\) Bragg reflections intensity ratio of single-layer graphene (Fig. 3e). Height determination by AFM was more problematic, because the SWNT network yields a rough background surface (Fig. 3f). However, the height profile of one flake...
particles and used Onsager-like theories to determine the phase
aspect ratio (diameter \(d\), thickness \(t\)). As in rigid rods, polydispersity broadens the biphasic region, and both the maximum isotropic concentration of the platelets and the ability to form liquid crystals depend on solvent quality.

Graphene flakes should act roughly as rigid platelets (on the scale of thermal forces) and therefore should be approximately flat in good solvents (S-03). However, intramolecular self attraction or repulsion could alter graphene conformation in solution. The protonation induced by chlorosulphonic acid should induce self repulsion and decrease the likelihood of folding. We imaged graphene flakes under cryo-TEM (Fig. 4a,b) to determine their conformation in solution and found that the flakes are extended. SEM and STEM of graphene flakes captured on SWNT grids from acid solutions further support this conclusion (Supplementary Fig. S6). Rigid anisotropic molecules undergo an isotropic/liquid-crystalline transition as their concentration is raised. Lekkerkerker, Frenkel and colleagues experimentally observed this transition with clay particles and used Onsager-like theories to determine the phase transitions. The transition concentration scales inversely with the aspect ratio (diameter/thickness).

We tested these concepts in the graphene/superacid systems. The top phase was extracted and quenched after centrifugation. The powder was then redispersed at \(\sim 20\) mg ml\(^{-1}\) and centrifuged to induce phase separation. The top phase is structureless and has a concentration of \(1.8\) mg ml\(^{-1}\). Under a cross-polarizer, the bottom phase shows birefringence typical of a liquid crystal (Fig. 5a–c). Therefore, unlike the starting material, dispersion of an entirely soluble graphene source yields isotropic/liquid-crystalline phase separation as expected in a solution of rigid platelets. Various liquid-crystalline phases (nematic, chiral, columnar) have been reported for disc-like platelets (called discotics); the nematic phase is the only probable phase for polydisperse, unfunctionalized systems such as ours. The liquid-crystalline Schlieren texture in Fig. 5b,c is very similar to typical discotic nematic samples. This liquid-crystalline phase is promising for processing of neat graphitic macroscopic articles such as fibres and films, particularly in light of recent improvements in graphene size control and bulk manufacturing, such as the production of controlled-size graphene nanoribbons (GNRs). We measured the solubility of both oxidized and reduced GNRs in chlorosulphonic acid as 3.4 mg ml\(^{-1}\). No undispersed material was observed; instead, the entire sample is soluble and forms liquid crystals at high concentration (Fig. 5d–f). Again, the fact that the reduced GNRs are entirely soluble with no precipitate indicates that chlorosulphonic acid disperses graphene at the single-layer level.

High-concentration isotropic solutions are attractive both for nanocomposites and for functionalization of graphene. We used isotropic dispersions to make thin films by filtration, including transparent and conducting films (S-04). One thin film (8 \(\mu\)m thick) was non-transparent but showed a conductivity of 110,000 S m\(^{-1}\); this is comparable to the best graphene film conductivity reported in the literature by solution processing. However, both the ability to form thin free-standing films and the electrical properties are highly dependent on the starting graphite; graphoil yields better films on both measured compared with microcrystalline graphite. The most relevant difference between these two graphite sources is the average graphene flake size in solution. Twelve electronic devices based on thin (\(\sim 10\) nm thick) films exhibited similar conductivity values in the range 80,000–95,000 S m\(^{-1}\). We also measured a sheet resistance of 1,000 \(\Omega\) cm\(^{-1}\) on an 80% transparent (at 550 nm) film. These values are an order of magnitude better than other films produced from pristine graphene dispersions (Supplementary Figs S10–S12).

We have shown that chlorosulphonic acid exfoliates graphite into isotropic graphene dispersions at concentrations one order of magnitude higher than any other known solvent or dispersant. The acid protonates the graphene to induce repulsion between layers; the mechanism is similar to acid protonation of SWNTs and does not damage or functionalize the material. A minimum degree of protonation is required for dissolution at high concentrations. Moreover, chlorosulphonic acid disperses new forms of graphene such as graphene nanoribbons. High-concentration dispersions of fully soluble material yield liquid-crystalline phases. The highly
concentrated isotropic and liquid-crystalline phases are promising for functionalization, and for scalable manufacturing of nanocomposites, films, coatings and high-performance fibres. In particular, we envision graphene liquid crystals as a replacement for traditional discotic pitch-based liquid crystals used as precursors for carbon fibres, films and weaves.

Materials and Methods
We analysed and characterized three different sources of graphite in this study: (i) graphite (expanded graphite; ECS Enterprises), (ii) microcrystalline graphite (Sigma Aldrich, batch no. 08017EH), and (iii) highly ordered pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) (SPI Supplies, lot no. 191028). Chlorsulfonic acid (Sigma Aldrich, 99%, batch no. 16096EII) and sulfuric acid (Fisher Chemical, certified ACS plus, lot no. 083290) were used as solvents.

TEM and HRTEM imaging and electron diffraction were performed using a JEOL 2010 operated at 100 kV and a FEI Titan 80–300 S/TEM operated at 300 kV with a point-to-point resolution below 1 Å.

The cryo-TEM techniques followed those of Davis and colleagues. The standard cryo-TEM procedure was modified to account for unique difficulties associated with working with superacids. Soluble graphene was acquired by dispersing 250 mg graphite in 10 ml chlorsulfonic acid, centrifuging at 5,000 rpm for 12 h, removing the phase by slow sedimentation and washing with water several times. The supernatant was then used for the preparation of microscopy slides.

Raman spectroscopy was performed on a Renishaw Raman microscope using a 514 nm He–Ne laser. Raman spectra of solid samples were taken by depositing the graphite on a glass slide with the aid of double tape. To measure the solid-state Raman spectra of the top and bottom phase of a centrifuged sample, the sample was quenched by the addition of water used to cool the sample. This cooling procedure is known to be very critical for the measurement of this kind of spectra. In this study, we used this technique for the measurement of the thermodynamic properties of graphite, which is known to be in a metastable state due to the exothermic reaction of chlorsulfonic acid with water.

Graphene oxide nanostructures were studied using Raman spectroscopy on a Renishaw Raman microscope using a 785 nm laser. Raman spectra were acquired in the 100–2,000 cm–1 range in a backscattering configuration.

Solutions of negatively charged graphene sheets and ribbons were prepared. The sample was dispersed in 100 mmol l–1 HCl and the pH was adjusted to 1 with NaOH. The sample was then sonicated for 12 h.
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