DOMESTIC POLITICS AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS  
POLI 577, SPRING 2012  
Thursday 1:00-4:00 PM, 126 Herzstein Hall

INSTRUCTOR:

Professor Ashley Leeds  
119 Herzstein Hall, (713) 348-3037  
leeds@rice.edu  
www.ruf.rice.edu/~leeds  
Office Hours: TW 2:00-3:30 PM or by appointment

COURSE CONTENT:

This seminar is intended to provide Ph.D. students with an opportunity to read and discuss a range of scholarly literature on the links between domestic and international politics and to plan their own research projects on related topics. Students will be expected to demonstrate their ability to evaluate arguments and empirical evidence, to recognize linkages among studies and identify scientific progress, and to develop their own research designs. You should leave this course with a basic familiarity with current research on the role of domestic politics in international relations, a completed research paper, and improved skills in analytical thinking and writing.

EVALUATION:

Grades will be determined in the manner described below. The Rice University Honor Code applies to all assignments for this course.

20% -- Class Participation and Attendance

The quality of a graduate level seminar depends to a great extent on the efforts of the students. You play a big role in creating your course. I expect that you will come to class each week prepared to discuss the assigned material and that you will share your ideas, questions, and views actively. Because class participation is vital to your performance in this course, please see me at once if you feel uncomfortable speaking in class.

Please leave ample time to read the work assigned for each week carefully. While you are reading, you should consider the following questions:

What is the purpose of the study? Does the author intend to describe the state of the world or the state of the literature? Does the author intend to advance a new theory? Does the author intend to provide an empirical test of an existing theory? How well does the author accomplish his or her goal?
What is the author's argument? What research question is the author trying to answer, and how does he or she answer it? What are the assumptions (explicit and implicit) upon which the author’s argument is based? What are the independent and dependent variables, and what is the logic that links them together?

Is the theory logically consistent? Is it plausible?

Is the theory empirically relevant? Is the empirical record commensurate with expectations drawn from the theory? If the author provides empirical tests, are the research design, the operational measures of the concepts, and the methods of analysis appropriate? What further evidence would you use to evaluate the argument? What further testable hypotheses follow from this theory? How would you design a study that could determine the empirical relevance of the author’s approach in comparison to other approaches?

Is the research interesting? How does this argument fit into the literature? What does this study tell us that we didn’t already know? What should it tell us that it doesn’t? What further evidence would you use to evaluate the argument? What further testable hypotheses follow from this theory? How would you design a study that could determine the empirical relevance of the author’s approach in comparison to other approaches?

What policy recommendations would you make based on this study?

How do the selections we read this week fit together? How do they fit into the course as a whole? Are we seeing progress in this research area?

I hope that we will engage in vigorous academic debate, but during these class discussions, classroom etiquette is vital. Please work to ensure that you make comments in ways that invite discussion. Our classroom contains members with various life experiences, divergent perspectives, varying levels of experience with political science research, and different strategies for defending their views. Please state your opinions constructively and respectfully, listen carefully when your colleagues are speaking, and speak to me if you are offended by something that is said in class.

Obviously it is impossible to participate in a seminar discussion if you are not in attendance. I expect no absences in the course, and I encourage you to discuss any circumstances with me that will preclude you from attending class. I also expect you to arrive on time. If you do need to miss class, please contact me ahead of time to let me know that you will not be able to attend and to make arrangements to complete an alternate assignment.

Grades for participation will be assigned at the end of the semester, but you may ask for feedback on your performance at any time. If you have concerns about the quality and quantity of your participation in the course, I hope you will speak to me.

25% – Weekly Memos on Readings

Each week for which there are reading assignments, each student must submit a memo on the assigned reading. Your memo should combine a brief summary of the work with a reaction to it. You should distill the main point(s) of the set of readings and integrate the week’s work coherently. I will be looking for evidence that you (1) understand the main arguments and conclusions of the articles/books, (2) see how the assigned readings for the week relate to one another and to the course, (3) can think
about where the field should go from here and what needs to be done next. Memos that are well
organized, clear, concise, demonstrate an understanding of the assigned readings, and offer insightful
analysis will receive the highest grades. Your memo must be typed, double spaced, with one inch
margins on all sides, written with proper grammar and spelling, and in a font size no smaller than 11
point. The memo must not exceed three pages; I will not accept longer papers. Memos are due by
9:00 am on the day of class. They may be submitted by email as attachments in .pdf or Microsoft
Word format. Alternatively, you may leave a hard copy in my mailbox in the political science
department.

I will not accept any memos after the class meets unless you have made special arrangements with me
ahead of time. You will receive a letter grade for each memo. At the end of the term I will drop your
lowest grade and average the grades for the remaining memos to determine your final memo grade.

5%-- Leadership of One Class Meeting

Each student will be in charge of leading class discussion once during the semester. The topic for the
week will be broadly related to the student’s research interests. You will collaborate with me in
choosing the assigned readings and will then be in charge of framing the questions for the week that
guide discussion and leading the class discussion.

45% – Research Paper

Research Question – due February 2
Argument – due March 16 – 10%
Gradable Draft – due April 19 – 10% (draft to reviewer April 16)
Final Draft and Memo Addressing Review – due May 2 – 25%

Your major written assignment for the semester is to prepare a research paper that analyzes a question
of interest to you concerning the relationship between domestic politics and international relations.
The result should be similar to a political science journal article. You should explain why your
question is important, review the existing scholarly literature that provides the basis for your study,
develop your argument and hypotheses, present a research design for evaluating your hypotheses
empirically, present your analysis of the data, and discuss the implications your study will have for
future research and for policy. More details about writing a research paper will be provided in a
separate handout.

The paper will be due in several stages. You must turn in a paragraph long description of the
topic/research question you plan to study on February 2. While I will not grade this description, you
will lose credit on your final project if you fail to hand it in. On March 16, I will collect the literature
review and argument portion of your paper for grading. This section must explain the question you are
addressing and provide a critical review of the literature that will provide a basis for your argument
and study. It must also develop your argument and list your hypotheses. This draft should be
accompanied by a bibliography and should include appropriate citations. A complete draft of your
paper is due to your reviewer/discussant (see below) on April 16 and to me on April 19. This should
be a version of your paper that is ready to be graded (presumably not your first draft—you should edit
on your own first). I will grade these papers and return them to you with comments, so you will have
an opportunity to make improvements if you choose before the final draft is due on May 2. You will
also need to turn in a memo explaining how you have addressed the comments of your reviewer with
the final draft. These due dates are firm. Late papers will be penalized one half letter grade per day, including weekends, unless an extension has been granted by the instructor prior to the due date.

At our last class meeting, each student will present his or her research to the class as a whole. The presentation should be no more than fifteen minutes. The presentation will be followed by comments from the discussant and then discussion with the class as a whole. The quality of your presentation will influence your grade for class participation. We may decide to invite other faculty and students to attend the presentations.

I encourage you to work closely with me on your individual papers throughout the semester. You need not wait for due dates to get feedback on your work. I am happy to help you at every stage of the process from identifying a topic, to locating background literature, to developing your argument, to setting up the research design and locating relevant data sources, to evaluating the analysis. It will be easier for me to help you, however, if you begin early and plan ahead. I am unlikely (for instance) to be in my office and available to help at 10:00 pm the night before the paper is due!

5%-- Review of Colleague’s Research Paper

Near the end of the semester, each of you will be assigned to serve as a reviewer and discussant for another student’s research paper. On April 16, you will receive a draft of the paper. You must write a review of the paper as if you were serving as a referee for an academic journal. In other words, your job is to provide an evaluation of the paper for an editor, and also to provide constructive advice to the author that can be useful in the next revision. You should explain (a) the contribution of the paper (that is, how the paper moves knowledge forward); (b) the strengths of the study and the current draft; (c) the weaknesses of the study and the current draft; (d) your advice for revision. The review is due on April 19.

At our last class meeting, you will serve as discussant following the presentation of the paper you reviewed. You should spend approximately five minutes summarizing your review for the class. This presentation will influence your grade for class participation. Keep in mind that the goal is not to “attack” the paper (or certainly the author!). The goal is to help the author identify both strengths and weaknesses and to offer suggestions for improvement.

When you turn in the final draft of your research paper, you must also turn in a memo in which you explain how you have addressed the comments you have received on your earlier draft from your reviewer and from me. This memo should explain what changes you have made to the paper and why you have not made other recommended changes. For advice in the reviews that you do not accept, you should either (a) explain why you disagree with the advice given, or (b) explain why, although you think the idea is a good one, it needs to be postponed for future research rather than incorporated into this project. The quality of this memo will influence your grade on your final draft of your research design.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS:

Students with documented disabilities who require special accommodations should express their needs during the first two weeks of class. All discussions will remain confidential. Students with disabilities must also contact Disability Support Services in the Ley Student Center. The Department of Political
Science is happy to do whatever we can to assure each student full and rewarding participation in classes.

DISCUSSION SCHEDULE:

This syllabus should not be viewed as a comprehensive listing of all scholarly literature on the influence of domestic politics on international relations, nor of all the “good” or “important” work; that would be impossible to cover in one semester. The reading selections are skewed towards works that employ statistical analysis and/or formal modeling, since these are the methodological approaches emphasized at Rice in our graduate training. Some issue areas and approaches are not well represented due to constraints of time; it is impossible to devote time to every issue area in which there has been substantial research in a single semester. Even for the topics that are covered, you will read only a representative sample of the existing literature. This selection of readings, however, should serve to provide a good introduction to our scholarly understanding, and the readings included herein should point you in profitable directions for future study on topics that you wish to pursue further. The readings listed for each date are those that will be discussed during that class period. Thus, you should complete these readings and your memo related to them before the class meeting.

Week #1: January 12: Introduction to Course

Week #2: January 19: Selectorate Theory

Week #3: January 26: Domestic Institutions and International Conflict
Week #4: February 2: Domestic Conditions and International Conflict

Research Topic due in class.

Week #5: February 9: No class

Week #6: February 16: Domestic Politics and Security Policy (led by Jae)

Week #7: February 23: Domestic Politics and Territorial Claims (led by Fanglu)


Week #8: March 1: No class, Spring Break

Week #9: March 8: Leader Specific Punishment


Week #10: March 15: Domestic Politics and International Bargaining/Agreement Formation


March 16: Literature Review and Argument due by 9:00 am.

Week #11: March 22: No class, Midterm Recess

Week #12: March 29: Domestic Politics and the WTO (led by Naoko)


**Week #13: April 5: Domestic Politics and Compliance with International Agreements**


**Week #14: April 12: Domestic Politics and International Organizations**


**April 16: Draft of Research Paper due to Reviewer/Discussant by 9:00 am.**

**Week #15: April 19: Presentation of student research and course wrap-up.**

**Draft of Research Paper and Review of Colleague’s Paper due in class.**

**May 2: Final Draft of Research Paper and Memo Addressing Review due by 12:00 pm**